SKEPDOC

A Transgender Controversy

BY HARRIET HALL, M.D.

The world of gender and sex has become more complicated. In earlier times, the person delivering the baby could announce "It's a boy" or "It's a girl," and that was that. Everyone could easily tell a boy from a girl. No longer. Today, more and more people are self-identifying as LGBTQ (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer or Questioning). In a 2020 Gallup poll, 5.6 percent of respondents reported themselves as belonging to this group; 54.6 percent of these self-identified as bisexual, 24.5 percent as gay, 11.7 percent as lesbians, 11.3 percent as transgender, and 3.3 percent said they used another term to describe their identity (for instance same-gender loving).

In another recent study 0.6 percent of American adults self-identified as transgender.² That figure is double the estimates from a decade earlier and is higher in younger people. And the LGBTQ category doesn't include people who are intersex or

born with ambiguous genitalia or are hermaphrodites with organs of both sexes or who are asexual and have no interest in sex. And here we see that there is an additional complication: who someone is attracted to (opposite sex, same sex, both, neither, etc.) and who someone identifies as (male, female, both, neither, etc.).

Transgender individuals have experienced gender dysphoria. They were acutely uncomfortable with their natal sex and were strongly motivated to adopt the appearance, dress, and lifestyle of the opposite gender.

Society is changing; it has become more accepting of people it once disparaged as perverted, immoral, or defective. Same-sex marriage is now the law of the land and prisons have authorized medical treatments so transgender inmates can transition. A boy who announced that he is a girl trapped in the wrong body or a girl who claims she is really a boy is readily believed and encouraged to use the names and pronouns they prefer. They are offered affirmative treatment, puberty blockers, and sex hormones; and the majority of those so treated go on to have surgeries which may include removal of breasts or male genitalia, or creation of an artificial vagina (vaginoplasty) or penis (phalloplasty).

Yet, controversy continues as the issues that should have been decided by the scientific evidence have become politicized into a battle between transgender activists and those they paint as transphobic. The Week magazine called it "the controversy over transgender teens."3 It started when Lisa Littman coined the term "Rapid Onset Gender Dysphoria"⁴ (ROGD) in a 2018 study published in *PLoS One*. She had become aware of a disturbing trend: an increasing number of teenage girls who had not expressed discomfort with their natal sex in childhood suddenly self-identified as transgender in their teen years. She thought some of

them may have been unduly influenced by their peer group or through the internet. Her study was disparaged as poor science because she relied on parental reports instead of talking to the transgender teens themselves. The term ROGD has not been widely accepted among medical professionals or psychological science organizations.

If Littman's article provided the spark, journalist Abigail Shrier fanned it into a fire. Her 2020 book, Irreversible Damage: The Transgender Craze Seducing Our Daughters, won awards in some quarters but elsewhere was characterized as transphobic and harmful to the transgender community. While she accepted ROGD as a real phenomenon, she went on to argue that some adolescent girls had not been adequately evaluated to rule out psychological problems, and that some girls were being subjected to potentially dangerous and irreversible medical and surgical interventions before they had reached the legal age of consent.

Detransitioners

Some people who have transitioned have regretted it and detransitioned, and many of them have joined online forums. We don't know what percentage that represents. Littman published a study in the Archives of Sexual Behavior in October 2021 titled "Individuals Treated for Gender Dysphoria with Medical and/or Surgical Transition Who Subsequently Detransitioned: A Survey of 100 Detransitioners." It used a convenience sample of subjects recruited via social media; they submitted anonymous questi<mark>onnaires. It</mark> did not answer the qu<mark>estion of pre</mark>valence. It was essentially just more anecdotal evidence, but it did provide some valuable insights. It explored the experience of detransitioners

and their reasons for detransitioning. Here are some of the findings.

Of the 100 detransitioners, 69 percent were natal females; 31 percent were natal males. The reasons they gave for detransitioning included:

- Experiencing discrimination (23 percent)
- Becoming more comfortable with their natal sex (60 percent)
- Concerns about potential medical complications from transitioning (49 percent)
- Coming to realize that their gender dysphoria was caused by something specific such as trauma, abuse, or a mental health condition (38 percent)

As well, 23 perce<mark>nt reported h</mark>omophobia or difficulty ac<mark>cepting them</mark>selves as lesbian, gay, or bisexual. A slight majority (55 percent) felt that they did not receive an adequate evaluation from a doctor or mental health professional before starting transition, and only 24 percent of respondents informed their clinicians that they had detransitioned (perhaps this explains why transgender clinicians think detransition is rare). Average age of detransition was 21.9. Steps taken included reverting to former pronouns, stopping cross-sex hormones (95 percent), and getting surgery to reverse the changes from transition (9 percent).

These self-reports are no substitute for good, objective scientific evidence, but they tend to validate the concerns raised in Shrier's book.

Is Shiloh Transgender?

Angelina Jolie and Brad Pitt's daughter Shiloh has always dressed in boys'

clothing and apparently wanted to be called John. Is she transgender? It's hard to glean accurate details from social media and tabloids, but I haven't seen any evidence that she was prescribed puberty blockers or male hormones, or that she is considering mastectomy or other gender transition surgeries. Recently she seems to have traded her boyish sh<mark>ort hair for l</mark>ong hair done up in a bun<mark>. She has b</mark>een seen in public wearing dresses and other feminine clothing, including her mother's designer gowns. Did she experience gender dysphoria? Did she identify as transgender? Did she really think she was a boy? Did she change her mind? We'll likely never know for sure, but then it's really none of our business. What if she is just a creative, independent child with her own unique fashion sense? Her parents have always been very accepting of whatever their children chose to do. If she is *not* transgender, it's easy to imagine how readily she could have been influenced to proclaim herself a boy born into the wrong body and to accept treatments that would be difficult or impossible to reverse.

A Forbidden Topic?

In my review of Shrier's book on the Science-Based Medicine (SBM) website, I said that while it was mostly based on anecdotes I thought it raised serious concerns that cried out for good scientific studies. As one of the three editors on that website, I was shocked when the other two editors took the unprecedented step of deleting my book review, the first time an sвм article had ever been retracted in the 14 years of its existence. Michael Shermer promptly republished it online, where it can still be read.6 The reactions to my article were mixed: many respected skeptics (including a former sвм

editor) thought it was fair and should not have been retracted, but many commenters called me a transphobe who had caused irreversible harm to members of the trans community.

This response is not uncommon. Some researchers have faced reprisals and loss of jobs. Some are reluctant to

and Bowers is WPATH's President Elect. Both Bowers and Anderson are themselves transgender women.

Children with gender dysphoria were once treated with "watchful waiting" (nonintervention and waiting to see if some of them might outgrow it with time as they learned to accept their

healthcare providers were treating kids recklessly, the newspaper rejected it with the improbable excuse that it was "outside our coverage priorities right now." I guess their priorities don't include protecting children. Bowers said, "There are definitely people who are trying to keep out anyone who doesn't absolutely buy the party line that everything should be affirming, and that there's no room for dissent, ... I think that's a mistake."

SHOULD ANY SUBJECT BE OUT OF BOUNDS? SHOULD SKEPTICS BE FREE TO ASK FOR EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT ANY CLAIM?

question transgender medical interventions because activists have created a climate of fear. The word "woke" originally meant being aware of racial and social issues, but its meaning has become distorted to where it now interferes with rational discourse and scientific inquiry. Scientific American's "woke" thinking might have led to the termination of Michael Shermer's long-running skeptic column.7 Should any subject be out of bounds? Shouldn't skeptics be free to ask for evidence to support any claim?

Transgender Experts Speak Out

Dr. Marci Bowers is a world-renowned surgeon who has performed over 2000 vaginoplasties. Erica Anderson is a clinical psychologist at the University of California San Francisco's Child and Adolescent Gender Clinic. Both have treated thousands of transgender patients. Both are on the board of WPATH (The World Professional Association for Transgender Health, which sets the standards for transgender care),

bodies). It has been replaced by the current practice of "affirmative care," which some claim prevents suicides, while others say the evidence is not robust enough to know. Doctors and families are expected to corroborate the child's belief that they are trapped in the wrong body and to give them puberty blockers, cross-sex hormones, and even surgery (which is supposed to wait for the age of consent but sometimes happens earlier). Bowers and Anderson think the new orthodoxy has gone too far;8 they are seeing more adolescent girls who seem to fit the ROGD description, and they are concerned that currently sloppy healthcare work may lead to more regrets and detransitioners. There are concerns about brain development, impaired fertility, and the inability of puberty-blocked patients to ever experience orgasm. And patients who don't go through puberty may not develop adequate penile tissue for surgeries like vaginoplasty.

When Anderson submitted a co-authored op-ed to the New York Times warning that many transgender

The Bottom Line

The science supporting transgender diagnosis and treatment is far from settled, especially for adolescent girls. Unanswered questions remain that can only be answered through good science. If experts could predict which individuals are likely to regret gender transition, irreversible damage might be avoided.

REFERENCES

- 1 https://www.nbcnews.com/feature/ nbc-out/americans-are-identifyinglgbtq-more-ever-poll-finds-n1258627
- 2 https://williamsinstitute. law.ucla.edu/publications/ trans-adults-united-states/
- https://theweek.com/life/1006253/ the-controversy-over-trans-teens
- https://journals.plos.org/ plosone/article?id=10.1371/ journal.pone.0202330
- https://link.springer.com/ content/pdf/10.1007/s10508-021-02163-w.pdf
- https://bit.ly/3rPy1rk
- https://michaelshermer.substack. com/p/scientific-american-goes-woke
- https://bariweiss.substack.com/p/ top-trans-doctors-blow-the-whistle